

















Trilogue Recommendations on PSD3/PSR Ensuring Legal Certainty for E-Money Distribution

September 2025

The trilogue negotiations on PSD3 and PSR are a decisive moment to ensure that **millions of Europeans** can continue to buy, gift, and use **simple electronic money products** such as prepaid vouchers and gift cards. These products are not just a convenience, they are a tool of **financial inclusion**, offering an easy, cash-like solution for people without bank accounts or credit cards, and a trusted gift or budgeting tool for millions of households.

E-money products are available at over 800.000 retail outlets across the EU, from supermarkets and petrol stations to local shops. These outlets are not providing payment services; they are simply offering consumers access to popular and trusted products. If PSD3 creates unnecessary complexity by misclassifying such actors, consumers risk losing access to these products and SMEs face disproportionate new burdens.

This **industry coalition of electronic money issuers**, **distributors**, **and retailers** therefore urges colegislators to consider the following:

Recommendations

- ✓ Ensure clarity: Retain Council's Recital 45 and Article 20(4) to confirm that the selling, distribution, and redemption of e-money do not constitute payment services and therefore are not agent activities.
- ✓ **Consistency:** Add "redemption" explicitly to Article 20(4) to align with Recital 45.
- ✓ **Avoid unnecessary burdens:** Remove the legal notion of "distributor" to prevent disproportionate compliance costs for retailers and SMEs that only sell or redeem e-money vouchers or gift cards.

Why This Matters

- Consumer choice and Inclusion: Prepaid vouchers and gift cards are a widely used budgeting and gifting tool. Moreover, they provide an accessible payment alternative for people without a bank account or credit card.
- **SMEs**: Retailers and local shops should not face disproportionate compliance burdens for simply selling or redeeming vouchers.
- **Supervisors**: Legal clarity avoids confusion, avoids unnecessary bureaucracy, and keeps accountability with the issuing payment institution.

Council's Position - The Right Balance

The Commission was right to identify the need for clarity on roles in the e-money value chain. The Council's proposal offers a more proportionate solution that works even better in practice for all actors involved:

- Remove the legal term "distributor" (Article 2(36) PSD3 and Article 3(51) PSR).
- Clarify instead in Article 20(4) and Recital 45 that the mere selling, distribution, or redemption of e-money does not constitute a payment service and therefore is not the activity of an agent.



















This approach ensures clarity for supervisors, avoids undue burdens for retailers, and preserves the Commission's objectives of consumer protection and legal certainty.

Redemption – The Missing Piece

Council's Recital 45 makes clear that persons acting on behalf of a payment institution may facilitate distribution and redemption without providing payment services. But **Article 20 remains inconsistent**: while it allows agents to redeem e-money, it leaves uncertainty over whether redemption by other actors automatically makes them "agents."

This ambiguity could disrupt existing business models. Redemption is simply the right of the emoney holder to exchange e-money for funds, it's not an independent payment service.

Proposed Legal Clarification

To align Article 20(4) with Recital 45 and current market practice, we propose the following wording:

"The mere selling, or distribution or redemption of electronic money, including the receipt of funds by the person distributing the electronic money on behalf of the payment institution in exchange for the electronic money issued, on behalf of the issuer of electronic money, shall not by itself constitute the activity of an agent."

This is a **technical alignment**, not a political change: it ensures consistency across the text, legal clarity and avoids unintended disruption.

Oversight and Accountability

- The issuing payment institution is always legally responsible for the distribution chain.
- Oversight is ensured through contractual arrangements with distributors and points of sale.
- If an entity provides services beyond simple distribution (e.g. carrying out payment services on behalf of the payment institution) it is rightly classified as an agent and must comply with the full agent regime.

This ensures a proportionate framework: consumer protection is safeguarded, supervision is effective, and the market remains open and innovative.

Conclusion and Call to Action

We call on the co-legislators to endorse the Council's approach in Recital 45 and Article 20(4), and to include an explicit reference to "redemption" in Article 20(4). This solution:

- Provides **legal certainty** for supervisors, issuers, and retailers.
- Safeguards **consumer access** to trusted and inclusive e-money products.
- Reduces unnecessary regulatory burdens on SMEs and retailers.
- Avoids unnecessary bureaucracy and supervisory burden for supervisory authorities.
- Ensures that the issuing payments institution remains **fully accountable** for the distribution chain.

By adopting this clarification in trilogue, the EU will strengthen financial inclusion, preserve consumer choice, and maintain a proportionate and effective regulatory framework for e-money.



















Appendix

3rd Payment Services Directive

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Industry Coalition Proposal
1	2023/0209 (COD)	2023/0209 (COD)	2023/0209 (COD)	2023/0209 (COD)
55	(45) To expand the reach of their services, payment institutions may need to use entities providing payment services on their behalf, including agents or, in the case of electronic money services, distributors. Payment institutions may also exercise their right of establishment in a host Member State, different from the home Member State, through branches. In such cases, it is appropriate that the payment institution communicates to the national competent authority all the relevant information related to agents, distributors and branches and informs national competent authorities of any changes without undue delay. To ensure transparency vis-à-vis end users, it is also appropriate that agents, distributors or branches acting on behalf of a payment institution inform payment service users of that fact.	(45) To expand the reach of their services, payment institutions may need to use entities providing payment services on their behalf, including agents or, in the case of electronic money services, distributors. Payment institutions may also exercise their right of establishment in a host Member State, different from the home Member State, through branches. In such cases, it is appropriate that the payment institution communicates to the national competent authority all the relevant information related to agents, distributors and branches and informs national competent authorities of any changes without undue delay. To ensure transparency vis-à-vis end users, it is also appropriate that agents, distributors or branches acting on behalf of a payment institution inform payment service users of that fact.	(45) To expand the reach of their services, payment institutions may need to use entities providing payment services on their behalf, including agents or, in the case of Payment institutions issuing electronic money services, distributors should be allowed to distribute electronic money via persons acting on their behalf, without such activity constituting a payment service. Payment institutions may also exercise their right of establishment in a host Member State, different from the home Member State, distribute and redeem electronic money through branches. In such cases, it is appropriate that persons acting on their behalf for those activities. These persons may involve other natural or legal persons. Neither this person nor the parties they involve should be deemed as agents and the activities they perform on behalf of the payment institution communicates to the national competent authority all the relevant information related to agents, distributors and branches and informs national competent authorities of any changes issuing electronic money should not be deemed as a payment service. They only facilitate	(45) To expand the reach of their services, payment institutions may need to use entities providing payment services on their behalf, including agents or, in the case of Payment institutions issuing electronic money services, distributors should be allowed to distribute electronic money via persons acting on their behalf, without such activity constituting a payment service. Payment institutions may also exercise their right of establishment in a host Member State, different from the home Member State, distribute and redeem electronic money through branches. In such cases, it is appropriate that persons acting on their behalf for those activities. These persons may involve other natural or legal persons. Neither this person nor the parties they involve should be deemed as agents and the activities they perform on behalf of the payment institution communicates to the national competent authority all the relevant information related to agents, distributors and branches and informs national competent authorities of any changes issuing electronic money should not be deemed as a payment service. They only facilitate



















			Industry Coalition
Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Proposal
		electronic money	electronic money
		distribution and the	distribution and the
		redemption of electronic	redemption of electronic
		money. The distribution of	money. The distribution of
		electronic money should	electronic money should
		also cover the receipt, in	also cover the receipt, in
		exchange for electronic	exchange for electronic
		money, of funds from the	money, of funds from the
		holder acquiring the	holder acquiring the
		electronic money. Where a	electronic money. Where a
		person distributing or	person distributing or
		redeeming electronic money	redeeming electronic money
		acting on the behalf of	acting on the behalf of
		payment institutions	payment institutions
		receives, in exchange for	receives, in exchange for
		electronic money, funds	electronic money, funds
		from a holder acquiring the	from a holder acquiring the
		electronic money, those	electronic money, those
		funds should be considered	funds should be considered
		to have been received by	to have been received by
		the payment institution	the payment institution
		itself, considering that this	itself, considering that this
		person is acting on behalf of	person is acting on behalf of
		the payment institution.	the payment institution.
		Persons distributing or	Persons distributing or
		redeeming electronic money	redeeming electronic money
		on the behalf of payment	on the behalf of payment
		institutions for those	institutions for those
		entities should always act	entities should always act
		on behalf of the payment institution issuing the	on behalf of the payment institution issuing the
		electronic money, which	electronic money, which
		remains ultimately liable for	remains ultimately liable for
		any acts of these persons in	any acts of these persons in
		the distribution of electronic	the distribution of electronic
		money, and for compliance	money, and for compliance
		with AML/CFT requirements	with AML/CFT requirements
		as regards the activities	as regards the activities
		carried out via these	carried out via these
		persons. This principle	persons. This principle
		should not be circumvented	should not be circumvented
		by contractual provisions	by contractual provisions
		stating that these persons	stating that these persons
		purchase the electronic	purchase the electronic
		money from the issuer and	money from the issuer and
		resell it, in their own name,	resell it, in their own name,
		to electronic money holders.	to electronic money holders.
		The mere selling or	The mere selling <mark>, or </mark>
		distribution of electronic	distribution <u>or redemption</u>
		money by a third party	of electronic money by a
		acting on behalf of the	third party acting on behalf
		issuer, including the	of the issuer, including the
	<u> </u>	I	1



















Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Industry Coalition Proposal
Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	collection of funds on behalf of the issuer, from the holders of e-money in exchange for electronic money, without undue delaythe provision of any other payment services should not be deemed to be the activity of an agent. Where a payment institution providing electronic money service makes use of an agent, said agent may not issue electronic money itself but may redeem it on behalf of the payment institution. Moreover, it should be noted that in order to be classified as an agent, it is not sufficient for a given entity to merely participate in the provision of a payment service or to merely carry out some client-facing activities. Only a natural or legal person acting in the name and on behalf of a payment institution in providing payment services that (i) enters into possession of the funds on behalf of the payment institution; or (ii) is directly involved in the payment institution's provision of payment services should be classified as an agent of a payment institution. To ensure transparency vis-à-vis end	•
		transparency vis-a-vis end users, it is also appropriate that agents, distributors persons distributing e-money on behalf of a	transparency vis-a-vis end users, it is also appropriate that agents, distributors persons distributing e-money on behalf of a
		payment institution or branches acting on behalf of a payment institution inform payment service users of that fact.	payment institution or branches acting on behalf of a payment institution inform payment service users of that fact.



















	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Industry Coalition Proposal
97	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions
136	(36) 'distributor' means a natural or legal person that distributes or redeems electronic money on behalf of a payment institution;	(36) 'distributor' means a natural or legal person that distributes or redeems electronic money on behalf of a payment institution;	(36) 'distributor' means a natural or legal person that distributes or redeems electronic money on behalf of a payment institution;	(36) 'distributor' means a natural or legal person that distributes or redeems electronic money on behalf of a payment institution;
369	Article 20 Distributors of electronic money services	Article 20 Distributors of electronic money services	Article 20 Distributors of Agents of payment institutions that provide electronic money services	Article 20 Distributors of Agents of payment institutions that provide electronic money services
370	1. Member States shall allow payment institutions that provide electronic money services to distribute and redeem electronic money through distributors.	1. Member States shall allow payment institutions that provide electronic money services to distribute and redeem electronic money through distributors.	1. Member States shall allow payment institutions that provide electronic money services to distribute and redeem electronic money through distributors agents. Payment institutions shall not issue electronic money through agents	1. Member States shall allow payment institutions that provide electronic money services to distribute and redeem electronic money through distributors agents. Payment institutions shall not issue electronic money through agents
371	2. Member States shall ensure that payment institutions that intend to provide electronic money services through a distributor apply the requirements laid down in Article 19 mutatis mutandis.	2. Member States shall ensure that payment institutions that intend to provide electronic money services through a distributor apply the requirements laid down in Article 19 mutatis mutandis.	2. Member States shall ensure that payment institutions that intend to provide redeem electronic money services— through a distributor an agent apply the requirements laid down in Article 19 mutatis mutandis.	2. Member States shall ensure that payment institutions that intend to provide redeem electronic money services—through a distributor an agent apply the requirements laid down in Article 19 mutatis mutandis.
372	3. Where the payment institution intends to distribute electronic money services in another Member State by engaging a distributor, Articles 30 to 33, with exception of Article 31(4) and (5) of this Directive, including the delegated acts adopted in accordance with Article 30(5) of this Directive, shall apply mutatis mutandis to such payment institution.	3. Where the payment institution intends to distribute electronic money services in another Member State by engaging a distributor, Articles 30 to 33, with exception of Article 31(4) and (5) of this Directive, including the delegated acts adopted in accordance with Article 30(5) of this Directive, shall apply mutatis mutandis to such payment institution.	3. Where the payment institution intends to distribute-redeem electronic money services in another Member State by engaging a distributor-through an agent, Articles 30 to 33, with exception of Article 31(4) and (5) of this Directive, including the delegated acts adopted in accordance with Article 30(5) of this Directive, shall apply mutatis mutandis to such payment institution.	3. Where the payment institution intends to distribute-redeem electronic money services in another Member State by engaging a distributor-through an agent, Articles 30 to 33, with exception of Article 31(4) and (5) of this Directive, including the delegated acts adopted in accordance with Article 30(5) of this Directive, shall apply mutatis mutandis to such payment institution.



















	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Industry Coalition Proposal
372a			4. The mere selling or distribution of electronic money, including the receipt of funds by the person distributing the electronic money on behalf of the payment institution in exchange for the electronic money issued, on behalf of the issuer of electronic money, shall not by itself constitute the activity of an agent.	4. The mere selling, or distribution or redemption of electronic money, including the receipt of funds by the person distributing the electronic money on behalf of the payment institution in exchange for the electronic money issued, on behalf of the issuer of electronic money, shall not by itself constitute the activity of an agent.

Payment Services Regulation

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Industry Coalition Proposal
1	2023/0210 (COD)	2023/0210 (COD)	2023/0210 (COD)	2023/0210 (COD)
210	Article 3 Definitions	Article 3 Definitions	Article 3 Definitions	Article 3 Definitions
264	(51) 'distributor' means a natural or legal person that distributes or redeems electronic money on behalf of a payment institution;	(51) 'distributor' means a natural or legal person that distributes or redeems electronic money on behalf of a payment institution;	(51) 'distributor' means a natural or legal person that distributes or redeems electronic money on behalf of a payment institution;	(51) 'distributor' means a natural or legal person that distributes or redeems electronic money on behalf of a payment institution;